,			FILED
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN CHARLES J. HARDER (CA Bar No. 184 NICHOLAS A. MERKIN (CA Bar No. 21 11400 West Olympic Boulevard, Ninth Fl Los Angeles, California 90064 E-Mail: charder@wrslawyer.com mmerkin@wrslawyers.com Telephone: (310) 478-4100 Facsimile: (310) 479-1422 Attorneys for Plaintiffs GRASSHOPPER HOUSE, LLC and PASSAGES SILVER STRAND, LLC	N & RABKI 593) 19604) oor	N, LLP 2010 APR 28 PM 4: 11 CLERX U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES BY
8	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT (COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRIC	T OF CALI	FORNIA
10		out o	. 3198 DM 6 (10x)
11	GRASSHOPPER HOUSE, LLC, a)	CASENO	: 71/0 4 7
12	GRASSHOPPER HOUSE, LLC, a) California limited liability company doing) business as "Passages Malibu," PASSAGES SILVER STRAND, LLC, a) California limited liability company,	COMPLA AND INJ	INT FOR DAMAGES UNCTIVE RELIEF FOR:
13	California limited liability company,	1. UNFA	IR COMPETITION;
14	Plaintiffs,)	ST ENRICHMENT; and
15	v.)	3. INJU	RY TO BUSINESS
16	SERVICES, LLC, a California limited))	TATION
17	liability company; NNB RECOVERY) SERVICES, LLC, a California limited)		FOR JURY TRIAL
18	liability company; and SALVATORE) PETRUCCI, an individual,))	
19	Defendants.)	
20 21	\		
22	Plaintiffs GRASSHOPPER HOUSE	, LLC and PA	SSAGES SILVER STRAND,
23	LLC allege:	,	
24	1. This is a civil action for unfa	air competitio	on under the Lanham Act, 15
25	U.S.C. § 1051 et seq., for unfair business j	practice arisi	ng under California Business
26	and Professions Code § 17200 et seq.,	for unjust e	nrichment, and for injury to
27	business reputation.		
28	111		
	I:\17875\001\Pldg\COMPLAINT 042810 (Final).wpd —]	L -	

Case 2:10-cv-03198-DMG-JC Document 1 Filed 04/28/10 Page 1 of 14

THE PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff GRASSHOPPER HOUSE, LLC ("Grasshopper House") is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business at 6428 Meadows Court, Malibu, California 90265.
- 3. Plaintiff PASSAGES SILVER STRAND, LLC ("Passages Silver Strand") is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business at 6428 Meadows Court, Malibu, California 90265. (Plaintiffs Grasshopper House and Passages Silver Strand are sometimes collectively referred to herein as "Plaintiffs.")
- 4. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and based thereon allege that Defendant RENAISSANCE RECOVERY SERVICES, LLC ("Renaissance") is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, which conducts business within the Central District, the location in which infringing acts have occurred.
- 5. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and based thereon allege that Defendant NNB RECOVERY SERVICES, LLC ("NNB") is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, which conducts business within the Central District, the location in which infringing acts have occurred.
- 6. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and based thereon allege that Defendant SALVATORE PETRUCCI ("Petrucci") is an individual who resides in the State of California and conducts business within the Central District, the location in which infringing acts have occurred. (Defendants Renaissance, NNB, and Petrucci are sometimes collectively referred to herein as "Defendants.")
- 7. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and based thereon allege that Defendants, and each of them, were and are the agents, employees, partners, joint-venturers, co-conspirators, owners, principals and employers of the remaining

10

8

11 12

14

15

13

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

13.

Defendants, and each of them are, and at all times herein mentioned were, acting within the course and scope of that agency, partnership, employment, conspiracy, ownership or joint venture. Plaintiffs further are informed and believe and based thereon allege that the acts and conduct herein alleged of each of the Defendants were known to, authorized by and/or ratified by the other Defendants, and each of them.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 8. This Court has federal question subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' federal unfair competition claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the remaining claims 9. pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because they 10. conduct business in the State of California and within the Central District.
- Venue properly lies in the Central District of California pursuant to 28 11. U.S.C. §1391 and §1400, because the acts complained of herein have been committed and are being committed in this Judicial District, Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction within this Judicial District, and Plaintiffs have their principal place of business within the Judicial District.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- Grasshopper House operates a leading alcohol and drug addiction 12. treatment facility, with a national clientele. For many years, Grasshopper House has been doing business as "Passages" and "Passages Malibu," and has used PASSAGES and PASSAGES MALIBU as its trademarks. Grasshopper House uses the domain PassagesMalibu.com website name and maintains a at http://www.passagesmalibu.com.
- Passages Silver Strand is affiliated with Grasshopper House and uses the trademark PASSAGES under a license agreement. Passages Silver Strand also has

8

10 11

9

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

III

begun using the mark PASSAGES VENTURA, and has used the mark PASSAGES SILVER STRAND during relevant periods of infringement described below.

Overview of Google AdWords Program

- Google is a well-known search engine that has emerged as the 14. nationwide leader in search queries by internet users. Yahoo has the second position in search queries. The discussion below describes the Google advertising process. The Yahoo process is similar.
- In addition to retrieving "hits" based on search terms, Google also 15. features "sponsored links" on search results pages. These "sponsored links" are links that are paid for by users through Google's AdWords advertising program. AdWords allows users to create their own advertisements which will be displayed as "sponsored links" on Google's search results web pages and on other websites that are part of Google's advertising network. Both the content of the AdWords advertisement and the search terms, known as "keywords", which trigger the appearance of the advertisement on the Google search results page and other websites are controlled by the AdWords advertiser.
- Each advertisement consists of five parts, all of which are created by the 16. AdWords advertiser: (i) a headline of 25 or fewer characters; (ii) a first descriptive lines of 35 or fewer characters; (iii) a second descriptive lines of 35 or fewer characters; (iv) a display URL of 35 or fewer characters; and (iv) a destination URL, which is linked to both the display URL and to the advertisement's headline. These user-supplied components are then incorporated to generate a text advertisement generally of the following form:

EXAMPLE HEADLINE

Example description line 1

Example description line 2

www.??.com

///

- 17. After creating an advertisement, the AdWords user sets up a list of keywords (words or phrases) to be associated with the given advertisement or ad group. Google uses the keywords to determine when the advertisement may appear on Google search results pages, and website pages of a Google search partner or member of the Google content network.
- 18. "Sponsored" AdWords advertisements appear, among other places, on Google search results pages, either alongside or above the search results, on Gmail webpages, and on the pages of websites that are members of the Google Network.
- 19. Whether an advertisement shows up on a page, and where that advertisement is positioned in relation to the other advertisements, is determined in large part by the keywords that the advertiser lists to associate with that advertisement.
- 20. Defendants use various domain names in advertising their alcohol and drug addiction facilities, including without limitation the domain name http://www.maliburecovery.com.
- 21. Defendants have used, and continue to use Plaintiffs' trademarks, without authorization, in Defendants' internet advertising. Defendants do so in an effort to trade on the goodwill of the Plaintiffs' trademarks and trade name, thereby tricking customers into thinking that Defendants' goods and services are associated with Plaintiffs. Defendants' unauthorized use of Plaintiffs' trademarks and trade name also confuses potential customers searching for Plaintiffs on the internet and misdirects them to Defendants' websites instead of the Plaintiffs' websites.
- 22. In particular, and among other things, Defendants willfully and purposefully utilize Plaintiffs' trademarks and trade name in Defendants' Google AdWords and Yahoo advertising in such a way as to create a likelihood of confusion among consumers that Defendants' goods and services are associated with Plaintiffs or otherwise lures them to Defendants' websites.

- 23. Defendants have used and continue to use Plaintiffs' trademarks in their internet advertising in an effort to confuse the public.
- 24. Defendants' websites contain no disclaimers that it is not affiliated or sponsored by Plaintiffs. Defendants' use of Plaintiffs' trademarks and trade name in its internet advertising is an attempt to trade on the goodwill of Plaintiffs' marks and confuse the consumer.
- 25. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and based thereon allege that Defendants are willfully and purposely using Plaintiffs' trademarks and trade name as a targeted keyword phrase in their internet advertising.
- 26. Defendants have made, and are continuing to make, false and misleading statements of material fact in their attempt to promote their addiction treatment facilities and related services.
- 27. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and based thereon allege that the foregoing pronouncements, among others, are false and misleading descriptions of material fact that, individually and collectively, misrepresent the nature, characteristics, qualities and results of the services offered by Defendants.
- 28. As a competitor, Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be damages by Defendants' false and misleading statements of fact as they improperly divert consumers away from Plaintiffs' rehabilitation services.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

FEDERAL UNFAIR COMPETITION (15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

- 29. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 28.
- 30. Defendants have used, and continue to use, without authorization, Plaintiffs' trademarks and trade dress, or confusingly similar names, marks and trade dress or variations thereof, in interstate commerce falsely to represent, describe and designate the origin of and other facts related to goods and services in a manner that

 is likely to cause confusion, to cause initial interest confusion, to cause mistake, and/or to deceive as to the affiliation, connection and association of Plaintiffs' trademarks and trade dress and the origin, endorsement, sponsorship, or approval of those goods in violation of § 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125. Defendants' unauthorized use of Plaintiffs' trademarks and trade dress, or confusingly similar names or variations thereof, are also likely to cause consumer confusion or mistake with respect to sponsorship or endorsement of Defendants' websites and the goods and services offered on such websites, and to divert consumers away from Plaintiffs' website in violation of the Lanham Act.

- 31. As a result of Defendants' wrongful and unfair acts, Defendants have been unjustly enriched and it is inequitable to allow Defendants to retain this benefit under the circumstances.
- 32. Plaintiffs have suffered damages as a direct and proximate result of Defendants' use of such false descriptions or representations, including confusion and deception of the trade and purchasing public, incalculable injury to their goodwill and business reputation, and the expenditure of attorneys' fees, for which Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law. Plaintiffs will continue to suffer irreparable injury unless this Court enjoins Defendants' acts of infringement.
- 33. Defendants' acts of infringement, described above, were willful such as to justify the award of treble damages and attorney fees to Plaintiffs.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

UNJUST ENRICHMENT

- 34. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 33.
- 35. Defendants have used and continue to use Plaintiffs' trademarks and trade dress without authorization in connection with the advertisement, sale and offering for sale of its competitive goods and services.

- 36. Defendants' wrongful and unauthorized use of the Plaintiffs' trademarks and trade dress is likely to cause confusion as to the source or sponsorship of Defendants' goods and services and further is likely to cause the consumer confusion when searching for Plaintiffs on the internet and to divert the consumers to Defendants' websites and services rather than those of Plaintiffs.
- 37. As a result of its wrongful acts, as alleged herein, Defendants have been unjustly enriched in an amount to be determined at the time of trial. It is inequitable to allow Defendants to retain this benefit under the circumstances.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

INJURY TO BUSINESS REPUTATION

- 38. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 37.
- 39. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants' use of Plaintiffs' trademark and trade dress inures and creates a likelihood of injury to Plaintiffs' business reputation because persons encountering Plaintiffs and their products and services will believe that Plaintiffs are affiliated with or related to or has the approval of Defendants, and any adverse reaction by the public to Defendants and the quality of their products and the nature of its business will injure the business reputation of Plaintiffs and the goodwill that they enjoy in connection with its trademark and trade dress.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

40. Plaintiffs hereby exercise their right to a jury trial under the Seventh Amendment to the United States Constitution, and pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc., Rule 38, demand a jury trial in accordance therewith.

/	/	/
/	/	/

///

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray to the Court that it grant the following relief:

- 1. That this Court permanently enjoin Defendants, and their officers, directors, employees, agents, servants, and all persons, firms, corporations, franchisees and associates in concert or participation with Defendants from doing any of the following:
- a. conducting or doing business, in any capacity, using Plaintiffs' trade dress or trademarks, or any confusingly similar marks, trade dress, designations or variations thereof;
- b. using Plaintiffs' trademarks or trade dress, or any confusingly similar marks, trade dress, derivative or form thereof, in connection with the advertisement, sale or offering for sale of goods and services, including the use in any internet advertising programs (including as text, headlines or keywords), promotional materials, and web sites;
- c. falsely or inaccurately describing or designating the origin of or other facts related to any goods or services in any manner that is likely to cause confusion, mistake or deception as to the affiliation, connection and association of Defendant with Plaintiffs;
- d. falsely or inaccurately describing the nature, characteristics, qualities and results of the services offered by Defendants;
 - e. engaging in any conduct violative of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a);
- 2. That this Court require Defendants to pay Plaintiffs damages in a sum to be proven at trial and including Defendants' profits and the actual damages suffered by Plaintiffs as a result of Defendants' acts;
- 3. That Defendants pay treble damages because of the willful acts described in this Complaint, all of which were in disregard of Plaintiffs' rights;
- 4. For restitution and disgorgement of all profits and other benefits unjustly obtained by Defendants as a result of their wrongful actions;

1	5.	That this Court award Plaintiffs their reasonable attorneys' fees; and
2	6.	That this Court grant such other and further relief as is just and proper.
3		
4		WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP
5		
6	DATED:	April 28, 2010 By:
7		LMAKLEN E DAKUEN
8		Attorneys for Plaintiffs GRASSHOPPER HOUSE, LLC and PASSAGES SILVER STRAND, LLC
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
1415		
16	AAA	
17	######################################	
18	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	- Annual Control	
28		

Case 2:10-cv-03198-DMG-JC Document 1 Filed 04/28/10 Page 10 of 14

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This	case has	been	assigned	to Distri	ct Judge	Dolly	Gee	and	the	assigned	disco	very
Magistrate	Judge is	Jacqu	eline Cho	ooljian.								

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV10- 3198 DMG (JCx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions

motions.	ge has been designated to hear	discovery related
A11 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Kandada Tadaa
All discovery related motions should be r	noticed on the calendar of the N	viagistrate Judge
	TICE TO COUNSEL	
A copy of this notice must be served with the summo filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plain		(if a removal action is
Subsequent documents must be filed at the following	g location:	
312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 411 We	est Fourth St., Rm. 1-053	astern Division 170 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 everside, CA 92501
Failure to file at the proper location will result in your docum	nents being returned to you.	

	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
GRASSHOPPER HOUSE, LLC, a California limited liability company doing business as "PASSAGES MALIBU," PASSAGES SILVER STRAND, LLC, a California limited liability (Empany PLAINTIFF(S) V.	CASE NUMBER CV10 3198 DMG (ICX)
RENAISSANCE RECOVERY SERVICES, LLC, a California limited liability company; NNB RECOVERY SERVICES, LLC, a California limited liability company; and SALVATORE PETRUCCI, an individual, DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS
TO: DEFENDANT(S): Renaissance Recovery and Salvatore Petrucc. A lawsuit has been filed against you.	Services, LLC, NNB Recovery Services, LLC i
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached X counterclaim cross-claim or a motion under Rul or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Ch	e 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer narles J. Harder , whose address is Angeles, CA 90064 . If you fail to do so,
	Clerk, U.S. District Court
APR 28 2010 Dated:	By: CHRISTOPHER POWERS Deputy Clerk
	(Seal of the Court)
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United State 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	es agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed

SUMMONS

CCD-1A

CV-01A (12/07)

Case 2:10-cv-03198-DMG-JC Document 1 Filed 04/28/10 Page 13 of 14

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

		CIVILICOVI	SEC CHESTANA			
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check be	x if you are representing yoursel	f 🔲)	DEFENDANTS			
GRASSHOPPER HOUS	E. LLC. a Califor	rnia	RENAISSANCE RECOVERY SERVICES, LLC, a			
limited liability	•		California limited liability company; NNB			
as "PASSAGES MAL			RECOVERY SERVICES, LLC, a California			
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Ad	iress and Telephone Number. If	you are representing	Attorneys (If Known)			
yourself, provide same.)			TT			
Charles J. Harde			Unknown			
Wolf, Rifkin, Sha	piro, Schulman & R	abkin, LLP				
	c Boulevard, Ninth Lifornia 90064	1 FLOOL				
Los Angeles, Cal	lifornia 90064		,			
310/478-4100						
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	ON (Place an X in one box only.)	ın. Cı	TIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL ace an X in one box for plaintif	PARTIES - For Diversity	Cases Only	
		(P	PTF DEF	i and one for detendant.)	PTF DEF	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Citizen o	This State 1 1	Incorporated or Principal P of Business in this State	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	Government Not a	Party)		of Business in this State		
2 U.S. Government Defenda	nt Diversity (Indicate of Parties in Item I	Citizensinp	f Another State 2 2	Incorporated and Principal of Business in Another Sta		
	or rathes in home.		Subject of a 3 3	Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
			n Country			
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in or	ne box only.)					
X 1 Original 2 Remo	ved from 🔲 3 Remanded fror				7 Appeal to District	
Proceeding State	Court Appellate Cou	rt Reopened	(specify):	District Litigatio	Judge from m Magistrate Judge	
V. REQUESTED IN COMP	LAINT: JURY DEMAND:	X Yes No	(Check 'Yes' only if demanded	in complaint.)		
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.		ΓŻ	MONEY DEMANDED IN	COMPLAINT: \$ To be	determined	
- ' '						
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (C	ite the U.S. Civil Statute under v	vhich you are filing an	d write a brief statement of caus	se. Do not cite jurisdictional	statutes unless diversity.)	
15 U.S.C. Section	ı 1125(a) - Feder	al Unfair (Competition			
	, ,		~			
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Piz		TORTS	TORTS	PRISONER	LABOR	
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	PERSONAL INJU		PETITIONS		
400 State Reapportionment	110 Insurance		PROPERTY	510 Motions to	710 Fair Labor Standards Act	
410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	120 Marine 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Pro	duct 370 Other Fraud	Vacate Sentence	720 Labor/Mgmt.	
450 Commerce/ICC	140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	371 Truth in Lending		Relations	
Rates/etc.	150 Recovery of	320 Assault, Libe		530 General	730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting &	
460 Deportation	Overpayment &	Slander	Property Damage	1 === 1 = 1	Disclosure Act	
470 Racketeer Influenced	Enforcement of Judgment	330 Fed. Employ Liability	ers' 385 Property Damage Product Liability		740 Railway Labor Act	
and Corrupt Organizations	151 Medicare Act	340 Marine		550 Civil Rights	790 Other Labor	
480 Consumer Credit	152 Recovery of Defaulted	345 Marine Prod	BANKRUPTCY	555 Prison Condition	Litigation	
490 Cable/Sat TV	Student Loan (Excl.	Liability 350 Motor Vehic	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	FORFEITURE	791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	
810 Selective Service	Veterans)	355 Motor Vehic		PENALTY	Security Act	
850 Securities/Commodities/	153 Recovery of Overpayment of	Product Liab	TOTAL SALVE SEE THE SE	610 Agriculture	PROPERTY RIGHTS	
Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12	Veteran's Benefits	360 Other Person		620 Other Food &	820 Copyrights 830 Patent	
USC 3410	160 Stockholders' Suits	Injury 362 Personal Inju	441 Voting 442 Employment	Drug 625 Drug Related	X 840 Trademark	
890 Other Statutory Actions	190 Other Contract	Med Malpra		Seizure of	SOCIAL SECURITY	
891 Agricultural Act	195 Contract Product	365 Personal Inju	ry- mmodations	Property 21 USC	861 HIA (1395ff)	
892 Economic Stabilization	Liability 196 Franchise	Product Liab		881	862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW	
Act 893 Environmental Matters	REAL PROPERTY	368 Asbestos Per Injury Produ		630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck	(405(g))	
894 Energy Allocation Act	210 Land Condemnation	Liability	Employment	650 Airline Regs	864 SSID Title XVI	
895 Freedom of Info. Act	220 Foreclosure	EXEMIMIGRATION		660 Occupational	865 RSI (405(g))	
900 Appeal of Fee Determi-	230 Rent Lease & Ejectment		n Disabilities - Other	Safety/Health	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	
nation Under Equal Access to Justice	240 Torts to Land	Application	r	690 Other	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or	
950 Constitutionality of	245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	463 Habeas Corp Alien Detain			Defendant)	
State Statutes	1230 All Office Real Froperty	465 Other Immig	••	40 790	871 IRS - Third Party	
		Actions			26 USC 7609	
				notes the same of		

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:

Case 2:10-cv-03198-DMG-JC Document 1 Filed 04/28/10 Page 14 of 14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

	S: Has this action b	een previously filed in this	court and dismissed, remanded or closed? X No Yes		
If yes, list case number(s):					
• •	Have any cases be V 2:09 MC	•	ourt that are related to the present case? No X Yes		
Civil cases are deemed related			Δ*		
(Check all boxes that apply)			ted transactions, happenings, or events; or		
(Check an boxes that apply)		•			
			or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or		
			stantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or		
	D. Involv	e the same patent, trademark	c or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.		
IX. VENUE: (When completing					
(a) List the County in this Dist	rict; California Cou	nty outside of this District;	State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides.		
Check here if the govern	ment, its agencies	or employees is a named plai	intiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).		
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country		
Los Angeles					
100 11190100					
			State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides.		
Check here if the govern	ment, its agencies	or employees is a named def	endant. If this box is checked, go to item (c).		
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country		
Los Angeles	•				
(c) List the County in this Dist	rict; California Cou	nty outside of this District;	State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.		
Note: In land condemn	ation cases, use th	e location of the tract of la	ind involved.		
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country		
Los Angeles					
		1. 37. 4 C 4. Y 1	Con Tuis Ohima Counties		
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Be Note: In land condemnation case			ra, or San Luis Obispo Counties		
INTE. III Ianu Condemnation Case	s, ase the location	Of the tractor land			
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORN	EY (OR PRO PER)		Date April 28, 2010		
		CHARLES J. H	ARDER		
or other papers as required by	law. This form, ar	proved by the Judicial Confe	information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings because of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)		
Key to Statistical codes relating	to Social Security (Cases:			
-	•				
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement o	l'Cause of Action		
861	ніа	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))			
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)			
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))			
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))			
864	SSID	All claims for supplement Act, as amended.	tal security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security		
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))			

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2